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Do you want to study the details of the trees? Or the beauty and symmetry of the forest? Or simplify to its essence


## The Beauty and Elegance of Nuclear Structural Evolution



Chaos to order, emergent collectivity, symmetries

## Structural evolution: Look at data from different perspectives




## B(E2: $\left.4^{+}--2^{+}\right) / B\left(E 2: 2^{+}-0^{+}\right)$


$B(E 2)$ values: complex behavior across a shell. $A$ different perspective shows a hidden regularity and some physics


Not only regular but illustrates an interesting point?:
Why is slope so constant across many structures?

SU(3) and O(6): ~1.43
Geom. Vibrator: 2.00
But U(5): $2 x(N-1) / N$
For $\mathbf{N}=4$, this equals:
$1.5!$

## The power of different perspectives



Onset of deformation

## Different perspectives, one more example

 Inspired by the p-n interaction

Experiment


Empirical valence p-n interactions

## $\delta \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{pn}}$

Double difference of


Empirical p-n interaction strengths indeed strongest along diagonal.

Empirical p-n interaction strengths stronger in like regions than unlike regions.

Locus of collectivity Collectivity and maxima in $\delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pn}}$ : Essential role of the p-n interaction


## Nuclear Astrophysics / Nucleosynthesis

n-capture cross sections in keV energy range key, especially for unstable nuclei

Problem: Difficult to measure Difficult and uncertain to predict

Is there another way?
Put together two perspectives

## N -capture cross sections

Rare earth region, 30 keV


## Try a different perspective

Look at two neutron separation energies



Neutron capture MACS at 30 keV vs. $\mathrm{S}_{2 n}(\mathrm{~N}+2)$ Bring together 3 physicists, one who knows cross sections and two who know masses:


Use these correlations to predict unknown cross sections

$\underset{1 / 2}{\Delta \sigma}=[\mathrm{S} 2 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{N}+2)]^{9.44}\left\{\left(4.33 \times 10^{-21}\right)\left[\mathrm{S}_{2 n}(\mathrm{~N}+2)\right]^{2}-\left(6.89 \times 10^{-20}\right) \mathrm{S}_{2 n}(\mathrm{~N}+2)+6.89 \times 10^{-19}\right\}$

## The Path to Symmetry

## Regularity out of chaos

$\rightarrow$ Patterns
$\rightarrow$ Simple interpretations
$\rightarrow$ Geometry
$\rightarrow$ Symmetries - Quantum numbers
$\rightarrow$ Algebra

## The IBM, not too shabby!!



The value and challenge of alternate perspectives in comparing models with the data

|  |  | $B(E 2) s$ |  | mixing | sing | Davy |  | No mixing. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{J}_{\text {initial }}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\text {final }}$ | ${ }^{168 E r-E X P}$ | Alaga | $\mathrm{Zg}=0.035$ | CQF | Proxy | PDS | Finite $\mathbf{N}$ |
| 2 g | $0^{+}$ | 56.2(11) | 70 | 56.9 | 54 | 52.9 | 64.3 |  |
|  | $2^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $4^{+}$ | 7.3(4) | 5 | 7.6 | 8 | 8.5 | 6.3 |  |
| 3 g | $2^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $4^{+}$ | 62.6(14) | 40 | 62.9 | 69 | 73 | 49.3 |  |
| 4 g | $2^{+}$ | 19.3(4) | 34 | 20.2 | 18 | 16.4 | 28.1 |  |
|  | $4^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $6^{+}$ | 13.1(12) | 8.6 | 16 | 16 | 18.7 | 12.5 |  |
| 5 g | $4^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $6^{+}$ | 123(14) | 57.1 | 117 | 125 | 147.7 | 79.6 |  |
| 6 g | $4^{+}$ | 11.2(10) | 26.9 | 11 | 9 | 7.4 | 20.3 |  |
|  | $6^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $8^{+}$ | 37.6(72) | 10.6 | 23.6 | 20 | 27.9 | 18 |  |


|  |  | $B(E 2) s$ |  | mixing | sing | Davy |  | No mixing. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{J}_{\text {initial }}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\text {final }}$ | ${ }^{168} \mathrm{Er}$-EXP | Alaga | $\mathrm{Zg}=0.035$ | CQF | Proxy | PDS | Finite $\mathbf{N}$ |
| 2 g | $0^{+}$ | 56.2(11) | 70 | 56.9 | 54 | 52.9 | 64.3 |  |
|  | $2^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $4^{+}$ | 7.3(4) | 5 | 7.6 | 8 | 8.5 | 6.3 |  |
| 3 g | $2^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $4^{+}$ | 62.6(14) | 40 | 62.9 | 69 | 73 | 49.3 |  |
| 4 g | $2^{+}$ | 19.3(4) | 34 | 20.2 | 18 | 16.4 | 28.1 |  |
|  | $4^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $6^{+}$ | 13.1(12) | 8.6 | 16 | 16 | 18.7 | 12.5 |  |
| 5 g | $4^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $6^{+}$ | 123(14) | 57.1 | 117 | 125 | 147.7 | 79.6 |  |
| 6 g | $4^{+}$ | 11.2(10) | 26.9 | 11 | 9 | 7.4 | 20.3 |  |
|  | $6^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $8^{+}$ | 37.6(72) | 10.6 | 23.6 | 20 | 27.9 | 18 |  |

Comparing models
All give similar predictions ! Why?

## $\gamma$ To Grd Rel. B(E2)s

$\begin{array}{ll}5_{g} & 4^{+} \\ & 6^{+}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}6 \mathrm{~g} & 4^{+} \\ & 6^{+} \\ & 8^{+}\end{array}$

Pure bands:
Sep. Intr, Rot DoF through $\gamma$

A word about the future:

## Unstable nuclei RIBF and FRIB



## Conclusions / Congratulations, Akito

Different perspectives, simple patterns, symmetries: Have revealed so much about nuclei, influenced generations.

Do not look at nuclei (or other systems) only through your favorite paradigm, or model.

The IBM: Inspiration for half of Akito's life.
Congratulations, Akito What an amazing career, and life !!

## BACKUPS

## Ditto - semi-log plot



